

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/855,132	05/14/2001	Eric A: Jacobsen	INTL-0548-US (P11107)	9082
7590 01/28/2004			EXAMINER	
Timothy N. Trop			LEVITAN, DMITRY	
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C. STE 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
8554 KATY FWY			2662	
HOUSTON, TX 77024-1805			DATE MAILED: 01/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u> </u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	09/855,132	JACOBSEN, ERIC A.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dmitry Levitan	2662			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 17 September 2001 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objection drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12)					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2662

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 1,1, 1,3, 1,4, 20, 31, 33 and 35. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 4, 8, 9, 18, 19, 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The specification does not provide sufficient details to enable a skilled in the art to make and use the invention because it does not adequately describe the following:

Regarding claim 4, how to apply a weighting function during the DFT to perform symbol shaping;

Regarding claims 8, 18 and 28, how to transmit each of the symbols during one of the intervals that exceeds the symbol generation interval.

Art Unit: 2662

Regarding claims 9, 19 and 29, how to selectively pre=rotate phases of one or more sub-carriers to generate a cyclic prefix.

The specification does not provide enough details about the structure and operation of the elements associated with the above identified claimed features to enable one skilled in the art to make and use the invention without undue experimentation.

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- Claims 1, 11 and 21 limitation "discrete frequency transformation" is unclear, because it is not described in the specification or well known in the art. Compare with discrete Fourier transformation, well known in the art.
- Claims 14 and 24 limitation "components of DFT" is unclear, because it is not described in the specification or well known in the art.
- 6. Claim 18 recites the limitations "the symbols" in line 1 and "the symbol generation interval" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Art Unit: 2662

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 4

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 8. Claims 1-7, 9-17, 19-27, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by van Nee (US 6,175,550).

Regarding claims 1-3, 11-13 and 21-23, van Nee teaches a method, a system and storage medium instructions (Fig. 1 and 3:66-67, 4:1-17,10:34-48), comprising:

Basing a DFT (IDFT 16 on Fig. 1 and 4:44-48, 3:53-58) on the number of sub-carriers (N carriers 6:10-14) in a predetermined set of sub-carriers (X carriers 6:10-14), one or more sub-carriers of the set assigned to modulate data (5:58-67 and 6:1-9) and the remaining sub-carriers of the set not assigned to modulate the data (5:58-67 and 6:1-9);

Performing DFT on the data to modulate the data (IDFT 16 on Fig. 1) and

Excluding from the transformation mathematical operations associated with the sub-carriers not assigned to modulate the data (X-point IFFT 6:10-14).

Regarding claim 4, van Nee teaches applying a weighting function (windowing 6:23-35) during DFT to perform symbol shaping (6:32-35).

Regarding claims 5, 15 and 25, van Nee teaches sub-carriers assigned to users (remote stations 74 on Fig. 5 and 7:40-61).

Regarding claims 6, 16 and 26, van Nee teaches forming an OFDM symbol (4:57-60).

Art Unit: 2662

Regarding claims 7, 17 and 27, van Nee teaches using the transformation to generate symbols at a rate defined by symbol generation interval (Symbol duration Ts 5:6-23); basing the DFT on the symbol generation interval (4:58-67); and

Using DFT to generate discrete modulated values for an interval that exceeds the symbol generation interval (5:6-22) to generate a cyclic extension (guard time 6:24-31).

Regarding claims 10, 20 and 30, van Nee teaches the mathematical operations of accumulation and multiplication (inherently part of IFFT technique, admitted as conventional IFFT technique in current application Fig. 2 and 5:3-8).

Regarding claims 14 and 24, van Nee teaches determining components of DFT independently from each other (orthogonal carriers 6:10-23).

Regarding claims 9, 19 and 29, van Nee teaches selectively pre-rotating phases of subcarriers (phase shift keying 4:31-43) to generate a cyclic prefix (Cyclic prefix 18 on Fig. 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8, 18 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over van Nee in view of Bohnke (US 6,535,501).

Van Nee substantially teaches all the limitations of claims 1, 7 and 8.

Art Unit: 2662

van Nee does not teach transmitting symbols during the intervals that exceeds the symbol generation interval.

Bohnke teaches transmitting symbols during the intervals that exceeds the symbol generation interval (transmitting symbols during the guard band intervals 1:38-56). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add transmitting symbols during the intervals that exceeds the symbol generation interval of Bohnke to the system of van Nee to improve the system spectral efficiency.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Bohnke

US006545997B1

Transmission method, apparatus for transmitting signals on

the basis of a OFDM/TDMA system.

Mason

US005488632A

Transmission and reception in a hostile interference

environment.

Bohnke

US006567383B1

Header structure for TDD systems.

Sayeed

US006456653B1

Fast and accurate signal to noise estimation technique for

OFDM systems.

Frodigh

US005726978

Adaptive channel allocation in a FDM system.

Larsson

US005956642A

Adaptive channel allocation method and apparatus for

multi-carrier communication system.

Hakkinen

US006282185B1

Transmitting and receiving method and radio system.

Isaksson

US006366554B1

Multi-carrier transmission system.

Art Unit: 2662

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dmitry Levitan whose telephone number is 703-305-4384. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on 703-305-4744. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4750.

クレ

Dmitry Levitan Patent Examiner. 01/13/04.

HASSAN KIZDU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600